## Status of πN Experiments at ITEP, FNAL and J-PARC



Michael Sadler Abilene Christian University Abilene, Texas USA

> PWA IV University of Helsinki 26 June 2007

## $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- p$ and $\pi^- p \rightarrow K\Lambda$ at ITEP

An Existing Facility for Measurements in Baryon Spectroscopy

# Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia



## New Project at ITEP (EPECUR)

- Measurements of  $\pi^-p \rightarrow \pi^-p$  and  $\pi^-p \rightarrow K\Lambda$  at  $P_{\pi} = 900 1200 \text{ MeV/c} (\sqrt{s} = 1610 1770 \text{ MeV})$
- Participating Institutions are ITEP, PNPI (Gatchina, Russia) and ACU
- Emphasis on narrow resonance search and N\*(1710) (second P<sub>11</sub>)
- Natural extension of LAMPF, PNPI and BNL (Crystal Ball) programs
- Preparation for experiments at J-PARC

## N\* resonance formation ( $\pi$ -p $\rightarrow$ $\pi$ -p)



# Nucleon model states and $N\pi$ couplings



5

## $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- p$ at ITEP

- Differential cross sections at 40-120° CM as function of the invariant mass of  $\pi$ -p-system.
- "Formation"-type experiment: invariant mass resolution (0.7 MeV) is based on the high momentum resolution (0.1%) of the magneto-optic channel.
- Statistical resolution as high as 0.5 %
- Obtain clear evidence for a narrow (2-20 MeV) resonance even if its elasticity is only 1%.
- Main parts of experimental setup are liquid hydrogen target and proportional and drift chambers.



## **Sensitivity for** $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- p$

- Momentum range 900-1200 MeV/c, 40-120° CM
- $\sqrt{s} = 1610-1770 \text{ MeV}$
- Invariant mass intervals of 0.5 MeV
- Statistical precision of 0.5%
- Start data taking in early 2008
- ~20 days of running





## $\pi^{-}p \rightarrow K\Lambda$ at ITEP

- Differential cross-section with statistical precision 1% and step in the invariant mass 0.5 MeV at the angles 0-180° CM.
- Momentum range 900- $1200 \text{ MeV/c} \Rightarrow 1610\text{-}1770$ MeV
- ~24 days of running, after  $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- p$  measurements



DC10

## Strangeness Production ( $\Lambda^0$ )



- Important reaction because:
  - Sizable cross section.
  - Pure I = 1/2 selects only N\* resonances.
- Little is known about resonances that decay to K  $\Lambda.$
- Will also be able to determine the final-state  $\Lambda$  polarization, since it is self-analyzing (two observables).
- Precise differential cross section and polarization data for  $\pi^- p \rightarrow K^0 \Lambda$  should be straightforward to analyze with a PWA.

26 June 2007

## Resonance formation and decay for $\pi$ -p $\rightarrow$ K<sup>0</sup> $\Lambda$



## GEANT4 Simulation for $\pi^- p \rightarrow K \Lambda$



## Experimental Geometry for $\pi$ -p $\rightarrow$ K $\Lambda$







26 Jı





## N\*(1710) summary in Review of Particle Physics

Citation: W.-M. Yao et al. (Particle Data Group), J. Phys. G 33, 1 (2006) and 2007 partial update for edition 2008 (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov)



$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$$
 Status: \*\*\*

Most of the results published before 1975 were last included in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982). Some further obsolete results published before 1980 were last included in our 2006 edition, Journal of Physics, G **33** 1 (2006).

The latest GWU analysis (ARNDT 06) finds no evidence for this resonance.

| VALUE (MeV)   | DOCUMENT ID           |     | TEĆN        | COMMENT                                |  |
|---|-----------------------|-----|-------------|--|--|
| 1680 to 1740 (≈ 1710) OUR ESTIMATE  |                       |     |             |  |  |
| 1717±28   | MANLEY                | 92  | <b>IPWA</b> | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N \pi \pi$ |  |
| $1700 \pm 50$   | CUTKOSKY              | 80  | <b>IPWA</b> | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$              |  |
| 1723± 9   | HOEHLER               | 79  | <b>IPWA</b> | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$              |  |
| <ul> <li>We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc.</li> </ul> |                       |     |             |  |  |
| 1752± 3   | PENNER                | 02C | DPWA        | Multichannel                           |  |
| $1699 \pm 65$   | VRANA                 | 00  | DPWA        | Multichannel                           |  |
| $1720 \pm 10$   | ARNDT                 | 96  | <b>IPWA</b> | $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$           |  |
| $1766 \pm 34$   | <sup>1</sup> BATINIC  | 95  | <b>DPWA</b> | $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$        |  |
| 1706  | CUTKOSKY              | 90  | <b>IPWA</b> | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$              |  |
| 1692  | CRAWFORD              | 80  | DPWA        | $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$           |  |
| 1730  | SAXON                 | 80  | <b>DPWA</b> | $\pi^- \rho \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$   |  |
| 1720  | <sup>2</sup> LONGACRE | 77  | <b>IPWA</b> | $\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$          |  |
| 1710  | <sup>3</sup> LONGACRE | 75  | IPWA        | $\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$          |  |

#### N(1710) BREIT-WIGNER MASS

26 June

## N\*(1710) summary in Review of Particle Physics

### N(1710) BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

| VALUE (MeV)                                     | DOCUMENT ID           |         | TECN        | COMMENT                                |
|---|-----------------------|---------|-------------|--|
| 50 to 250 (# 100) OUR ESTIMA                    | TE                    |         |             |  |
| $480 \pm 230$                                   | MANLEY                | 92      | <b>IPWA</b> | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N \pi \pi$ |
| 93± 30  | CUTKOSKY              | 90      | <b>IPWA</b> | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$              |
| 90± 30  | CUTKOSKY              | 80      | IPWA.       | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$              |
| $120 \pm 15$                                    | HOEHLER               | 79      | <b>IPWA</b> | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$              |
| <ul> <li>We do not use the following</li> </ul> | data for averages     | , fits, | limits, e   | tc. • • •                              |
| 386± 59   | PENNER                | 02C     | <b>DPWA</b> | Multichannel                           |
| $143 \pm 100$                                   | VRANA                 | 00      | DPWA        | Multichannel                           |
| $105 \pm 10$                                    | ARNDT                 | 96      | <b>IPWA</b> | $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$           |
| $185 \pm 61$                                    | BATINIC               | 95      | DPWA        | $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$        |
| 540   | BELL                  | 83      | DPWA        | $\pi^- \rho \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$   |
| 200   | CRAWFORD              | 80      | DPWA        | $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$           |
| 550   | SAXON                 | 80      | DPWA        | $\pi^- \rho \rightarrow \Lambda K^0$   |
| 120   | <sup>2</sup> LONGACRE | 77      | <b>IPWA</b> | $\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$          |
| 75  | <sup>3</sup> LONGACRE | 75      | <b>IPWA</b> | $\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$          |

## N\*(1710) summary in Review of Particle Physics

### N(1710) DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.

|                 | Mode                               | Fraction $(\Gamma_j/\Gamma)$ |
|-----------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Γ <sub>1</sub>  | Νπ                                 | 10-20 %                      |
| Γ2              | Νη                                 | ( 6.2±1.0) %                 |
| Γ3              | Νω                                 | (13.0±2.0) %                 |
| E4              | ΛΚ                                 | 5-25 %                       |
| Γ <sub>5</sub>  | ΣΚ                                 |                              |
| Γ <sub>6</sub>  | Νππ                                | 40-90 %                      |
| Γ7              | $\Delta \pi$                       | 15-40 %                      |
| Γ8              | $\Delta(1232)\pi$ , <i>P</i> -wave |                              |
| Гэ              | Nρ                                 | 5-25 %                       |
| Γ <sub>10</sub> | $N\rho$ , $S=1/2$ , $P$ -wave      |                              |
| Γ <sub>11</sub> | Nρ, S=3/2, P-wave                  |                              |
| Γ <sub>12</sub> | $N(\pi\pi)_{S-wave}^{I=0}$         | 10-40 %                      |
| Γ <sub>13</sub> | pγ                                 | 0.002-0.05%                  |
| Γ <sub>14</sub> | $p\gamma$ , helicity=1/2           | 0.002-0.05%                  |
| Γ <sub>15</sub> | ηγ                                 | 0.0-0.02%                    |
| Γ <sub>16</sub> | $n\gamma$ , helicity=1/2           | 0.0-0.02%                    |

New Possibility for Measurements in Baryon Spectroscopy

The Main Injector Particle Production Experiment (MIPP-FNAL-E907) at Fermilab



#### **MIPP** collaboration list

D.Isenhower, M.Sadler, R.Towell, S.Watson Abilene Christian University R.J.Peterson University of Colorado, Boulder, W.Baker, D.Carey, C.Johnstone, M.Kostin, H.Meyer, A.Para, R.Raja Fermi National Accelerator Laboratory G. Feldman, A.Lebedev, S.Seun Harvard University P.Hanlet, O.Kamaev, D.Kaplan, H.Rubin, N.Solomey Illinois Institute of Technology U.Akgun,G.Aydin,F.Duru,E.Gulmez,Y.Gunyadin,Y.Onel, A.Penzo University of Iowa N.Graf, M. Messier, J.Paley Indiana University D.M.Manley, Kent State University P.D.BarnesJr., E.Hartouni, M.Heffner, J.Klay, D.Lange, R.Soltz, D.Wright Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory H.R.Gustafson, M.Longo, H-K.Park, D.Rajaram University of Michigan K.Hicks. University of Ohio S.P.Kruglov, I.V.Lopatin, N.G.Kozlenko, A.A.Kulbardis, D.V.Nowinsky, A.K.Radkov,V.V.Sumachev Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, Russia A.Bujak, L.Gutay, D.E.Miller Purdue University T.Bergfeld, A.Godley, S.R.Mishra, C.Rosenfeld, K.Wu University of South Carolina C.Dukes, C.Materniak, K.Nelson, A.Norman University of Virginia

26 June 2007

**Brief Description of Experiment** 

- Situated in Meson Center 7
- Uses 120 GeV Main Injector Primary protons to produce secondary beams of π<sup>±</sup>, K<sup>±</sup>, p<sup>±</sup> from 5 GeV/c to 100 GeV/c to measure particle production cross sections of various nuclei including hydrogen.
- Uses a TPC we measure momenta of ~all charged particles produced in the interaction and identify the charged particles in the final state using a combination of dE/dx, ToF, differential Cherenkov and RICH technologies.
- Open Geometry- Lower systematics. TPC gives high statistics.

## **MIPP Secondary Beam**



## **MIPP**

### Main Injector Particle Production Experiment (FNAL-E907)

Horizontal cut plane



## MIPP Collision Hall



## **MIPP Collision Hall**



26 June 2007

PWA IV, Helsinki

#### **TPC** installation



28

## MIPP-TPC

- The Time Projection Chamber was built by the BEVALAC group at LBL for heavy ion studies in 1990's. Donated to Fermilab after usage at BNL. It took approximately \$3 million to construct.
- Can handle high multiplicity events. Time to drift across TPC=16  $\mu$ s.
- Electronic equivalent of bubble chamber, high acceptance, with dE/dx capabilities. Dead time 16μs. Unreacted beam swept out in 8μs. Can tolerate 10<sup>5</sup> particles per second going through it.
- Can handle data taking rate ~60Hz with current electronics. Can increase this to ~1000 Hz with an upgrade.
- TPC dimensions 96 x 75 x 150 cm.

## TPC processed event



## Baryon Spectroscopy at MIPP

- Pion beams as low as 1 GeV/c possible with new magnet controls.
- Was proposed to PAC in April 2005.
- Approval was deferred, awaiting analysis of previous data.
- If approved (eventually), opens possibility of making measurements in the πN resonance region.

## Jolly Green Giant Magnet





Overall view of the current M-center Jolly Green Giant Magnet area

2

## Plastic Ball Recoil detector

- Plastic ball detector is avaliable. GSI/KVI have joined MIPP. We will install a hemisphere in MIPP.
   Mounting details to be worked out. Need the ability to remove the detector to repair it and the TPC.
- Transportation to Fermilab.
- GSI/KVI will play a lead role in making this happen
- Detector will help in all aspects of MIPP data including tagged neutral beams, missing baryon resonances and hadronic shower simulation data.
- New electronics--Baldin



Picture of the full plastic ball at KVI

## Plastic Ball for MIPP Upgrade

31

The recoil detector



3.3. Plastic Ball





Detect recoil protons, neutrons, pizeros and charged pions,kaons

# $\pi^{\pm}p \twoheadrightarrow N^* \twoheadrightarrow \pi^{\pm}p$

- Baryon spectroscopy through partial-wave analysis (PWA).
- GWU data analysis group maintains database and regularly updates their partial wave analyses of pion nucleon elastic scattering and single pion photoproduction.
- Needs other rejuvenated groups participating in ongoing PWA.
- Precise data needed to make a coupled channel analysis with the precise single pion photoproduction data from Jefferson Laboratory and other electromagnetic facilities.
- No new data at energies above the Roper resonance in about 3 decades.
- Some of the old data sets are inconsistent with each other.



# Single pion production $\pi^{\pm}p \rightarrow \pi N^{*} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{0}p \text{ or } \pi^{\pm}p \rightarrow \pi N^{*} \rightarrow \pi^{\pm} \pi^{+}n$

- Single pion production is an important reaction to search for missing resonances that couple weakly to  $\pi N$ .
- With a TPC, one can measure 4 of the 5 reactions amenable to  $\pi^{\pm}$ p scattering:

 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^0 p$  and  $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^0 p$  (detect  $\pi^0$  by missing mass)

 $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ \pi^+ n$  and  $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \pi^+ n$  (detect n by missing mass)

- Manley and Salesky performed an isobar-model partial-wave analysis of the world's available set of bubble-chamber data for these reactions many years ago [PRD **45**, 4002 (1992)]. Data set consisted of about 241,000 events (very low statistics by modern standards).
- Biggest problems began around 1600 MeV, where the number of important partial waves became greater than the data available to determine them. The amplitudes for quasi-twobody reactions as  $\pi N \rightarrow \pi \Delta$  and  $\pi N \rightarrow \rho N$  become quite noisy. A new isobar model analysis could be performed to determine the  $\pi \Delta$  and  $\rho N$  couplings of N\* and  $\Delta$ \* resonances more precisely.
- Such an analysis could incorporate the new data for  $\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^0 \pi^0 n$ , which were measured at BNL by the Crystal Ball Collaboration.
- Needed to analyze data from Jefferson Lab in the reaction  $e p \rightarrow e' \pi^- \pi^+ p$ .
- An isobar model analysis is nontrivial, but can be done with new data.

26 June 2007

## New baryons in the $\eta~\Delta$ and $\omega~\Delta$ channels

- The  $\eta$   $\Delta$  channel is pure I=3/2 and presents an opportunity to discover new  $\Delta^*$  resonances in these final states. The  $\eta$   $\Delta$  channel could be studied by the reactions
  - $-\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \eta p$  (identify  $\eta$  by missing mass; select  $\Delta^0$  events by invariant mass of  $\pi^- p$ )
  - $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ \eta p$  (identify  $\eta$  by missing mass; select  $\Delta^{++}$  events by invariant mass of  $\pi^+ p$ )
  - Isospin invariance of the strong interactions means that these two reactions must give consistent results for  $\eta \Delta$  couplings. This presents a tight constraint to make sure that the couplings are determined consistently.
- Similarly,  $\omega \Delta$  couplings are also pure I=3/2 and could be studied by
  - $-\pi^- p \rightarrow \pi^- \omega p$  (identify  $\omega$  by missing mass; select  $\Delta^0$  events by invariant mass of  $\pi^- p$ )
  - $\pi^+ p \rightarrow \pi^+ \omega p$  (identify  $\omega$  by missing mass; select  $\Delta^{++}$  events by invariant mass of  $\pi^+ p$ )
- These reactions present a good opportunity to search for new and missing  $\Delta^*$  resonances. Quark-model predictions have been made by Simon Capstick and Winston Roberts (PRD **57**, 4301 (1998)).

## Strangeness Production ( $\Sigma$ 's)

- $\pi^+ p \rightarrow K^+ \Sigma^+ \rightarrow K^+ \pi^+ n$ 
  - Detect neutron by missing mass, and reconstruct  $\Sigma^+$  by its invariant mass.
  - This reaction is especially important because it is pure I=3/2 and will excite only  $\Delta^*$  resonances.

• 
$$\pi^- p \rightarrow K^+ \Sigma^- \rightarrow K^+ \pi^- n$$

Detect neutron by missing mass and reconstruct  $\Sigma^-$  by its invariant mass.

• 
$$\pi^{-} p \rightarrow K^{0} \Sigma^{0}$$
  
 $\downarrow \gamma \Lambda \rightarrow \gamma \pi^{-} p$   
 $\downarrow K_{S} \rightarrow \pi^{+} \pi^{-}$ 

Detect  $\gamma$  by missing mass, reconstruct  $\Lambda$  from  $\pi$ -p invariant mass, then reconstruct  $\Sigma$  from  $\gamma\Lambda$ .

 Previous two reactions are complementary, since they are a mixture of I=1/2 and I=3/2. Both are needed.

# **Strangeness Production**

- Resonance couplings determined for K Λ and K Σ can be compared with quark model calculations, such as those of Simon Capstick and Winston Roberts (PRD 58, 074011 (1998)).
- Quark-model predictions have also been made for other channels involving strange particles, such as K\* Λ, K Λ (1405), and K Λ (1520).



- Strangeness -2 baryons accessible with hadronic beams.
- ssd baryon spectrum similar to uud and udd by flavor symmetry.
- Production (as opposed to formation) experiment.
- Signal is missing mass from  $K^-p \rightarrow K^+ + MM$ .
- Charged decay products of  $\Xi^{-*}$  will also be observed.
- 44 predicted  $\Xi^{-*}$ , 8 seen.

# Nucleon structure experiments planned at J-PARC (near Tokyo)



- Measurements of the spin rotation parameters A & R in the resonance region (PNPI, ITEP, and KEK).
- Systematic program in baryon spectroscopy (ANL, ACU, GWU, KSU, KEK, PNPI).
- Measurement of u and d antiquark distributions in the nucleon (Illinois, Duke, MIT, ACU, LANL, ANL, Kyoto, KEK, Tokyo).

N(1440) summary in Review of Particle Physics (2004)

Citation: S. Eidelman et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Lett. B 592, 1 (2004) (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov)



$$I(J^{P}) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^{+})$$
 Status: \*\*\*\*

Most of the results published before 1975 are now obsolete and have been omitted. They may be found in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** (1982).

#### N(1440) BREIT-WIGNER MASS

| VALUE (MaV)                                     | DOCUMENT ID           |          | TECN    | COMMENT                                |
|---|-----------------------|----------|---------|--|
| 1430 to 1470 (≈ 1440) OUR EST                   | MATE                  |          |         |  |
| $1462 \pm 10$                                   | MANLEY                | 92       | IPWA    | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N \pi \pi$ |
| $1440 \pm 30$                                   | CUTKOSKY              | 80       | IPWA    | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$              |
| $1410 \pm 12$                                   | HOEHLER               | 79       | IPWA    | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$              |
| <ul> <li>We do not use the following</li> </ul> | data for averages     | 5, fits, | limits, | etc. • • •                             |
| 1518± 5   | PENNER                | 02c      | DPWA    | Multichannel                           |
| 1479±80   | VRANA                 | 00       | DPWA    | Multichannel                           |
| 1463± 7   | ARNDT                 | 96       | IPWA    | $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$           |
| 1467  | ARNDT                 | 95       | DPWA    | $\pi N \rightarrow N \pi$              |
| $1421 \pm 18$                                   | BATINIC               | 95       | DPWA    | $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$        |
| 1465  | LI                    | 93       | IPWA    | $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$           |
| 1471  | CUTKOSKY              | 90       | IPWA    | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$              |
| 1411  | CRAWFORD              | 80       | DPWA    | $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$           |
| 1472  | <sup>1</sup> BAKER    | 79       | DPWA    | $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta$            |
| 1417  | BARBOUR               | 78       | DPWA    | $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$           |
| 1460  | BERENDS               | 77       | IPWA    | $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$           |
| 1380  | <sup>2</sup> LONGACRE | 77       | IPWA    | $\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$          |
| 1390  | <sup>3</sup> LONGACRE | 75       | IPWA    | $\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$          |

26 June 2007

## N(1440) summary in Review of Particle Physics (2006)

Citation: W.-M. Yao et al. (Particle Data Group), J. Phys. G 33, 1 (2006) and 2007 partial update for edition 2008 (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov)



$$I(J^P) = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{1}{2}^+)$$
 Status: \*\*\*\*

Most of the results published before 1975 were last included in our 1982 edition, Physics Letters **111B** 1 (1982). Some further obsolete results published before 1980 were last included in our 2006 edition, Journal of Physics, G **33** 1 (2006).

#### N(1440) BREIT-WIGNER MASS

| VALUE (MeV)   | DOCUMENT ID           |     | TECN | COMMENT                                |  |  |
|---|-----------------------|-----|------|--|--|--|
| 1420 to 1470 (≈ 1440) OUR ESTIMATE  |                       |     |      |  |  |  |
| 1485.0± 1.2   | ARNDT                 | 06  | DPWA | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$      |  |  |
| 1462 ±10  | MANLEY                | 92  | IPWA | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N \pi \pi$ |  |  |
| 1440 ±30  | CUTKOSKY              | 80  | IPWA | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$              |  |  |
| 1410 ±12  | HOEHLER               | 79  | IPWA | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$              |  |  |
| <ul> <li>We do not use the following data for averages, fits, limits, etc.</li> </ul> |                       |     |      |  |  |  |
| 1468.0± 4.5   | ARNDT                 | 04  | DPWA | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N, \eta N$      |  |  |
| 1518 ± 5  | PENNER                | 02C | DPWA | Multichannel                           |  |  |
| 1479 ±80  | VRANA                 | 00  | DPWA | Multichannel                           |  |  |
| 1463 ± 7  | ARNDT                 | 96  | IPWA | $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$           |  |  |
| 1467  | ARNDT                 | 95  | DPWA | $\pi N \rightarrow N \pi$              |  |  |
| 1421 ±18  | BATINIC               | 95  | DPWA | $\pi N \rightarrow N\pi, N\eta$        |  |  |
| 1465  | LI                    | 93  | IPWA | $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$           |  |  |
| 1471  | CUTKOSKY              | 90  | IPWA | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$              |  |  |
| 1411  | CRAWFORD              | 80  | DPWA | $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$           |  |  |
| 1380  | <sup>1</sup> LONGACRE | 77  | IPWA | $\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$          |  |  |
| 1390  | <sup>2</sup> LONGACRE | 75  | IPWA | $\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$          |  |  |

26 June 2007

### N(1440) BREIT-WIGNER WIDTH

| VALUE (MeV    | DOCUMENT ID                                |        | TECN        | COMMENT                                |
|---------------|--|--------|-------------|--|
| 250 to 450    | (≈ 350) OUR ESTIMATE                       |        |             |  |
| $391\pm 34$   | MANLEY                                     | 92     | IPWA        | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N \& N \pi \pi$ |
| $545 \pm 170$ | CUTKOSKY                                   | 90     | IPWA        | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$              |
| $340 \pm 70$  | CUTKOSKY                                   | 80     | IPWA        | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$              |
| $135\pm$ 10   | HOEHLER                                    | 79     | IPWA        | $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$              |
| •••We         | to not use the following data for averages | , fits | , limits,   | etc. • • •                             |
| $668 \pm 41$  | PENNER                                     | 02c    | DPWA        | Multichannel                           |
| $490 \pm 120$ | VRANA                                      | 00     | DPWA        | Multichannel                           |
| $360 \pm 20$  | ARNDT                                      | 96     | IPWA        | $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$           |
| 440           | ARNDT                                      | 95     | DPWA        | $\pi N \rightarrow N \pi$              |
| $250 \pm 63$  | BATINIC                                    | 95     | DPWA        | $\pi N \rightarrow N \pi, N \eta$      |
| 315           | LI   | 93     | IPWA        | $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$           |
| 334           | CRAWFORD                                   | 80     | DPWA        | $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$           |
| 113           | <sup>1</sup> BAKER                         | 79     | DPWA        | $\pi^- p \rightarrow n\eta$            |
| 331           | BARBOUR                                    | 78     | DPWA        | $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$           |
| 279           | BERENDS                                    | 77     | IPWA        | $\gamma N \rightarrow \pi N$           |
| 200           | <sup>2</sup> LONGACRE                      | 77     | <b>IPWA</b> | $\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$          |
| 200           | <sup>3</sup> LONGACRE                      | 75     | IPWA        | $\pi N \rightarrow N \pi \pi$          |

26 Jı

N(1440) summary in Review of Particle Physics

Citation: S. Eidelman et al. (Particle Data Group), Phys. Lett. B 592, 1 (2004) (URL: http://pdg.lbl.gov).

#### N(1440) DECAY MODES

The following branching fractions are our estimates, not fits or averages.



26

## Considerations for future $\pi N$ experiments

- Pion beams from 0.7 to 3.0 GeV/c.
- Large acceptance detectors.
- Polarized targets (transverse for P measurements, longitudinal plus polarimeter for A and R measurements).
- Ability to detect and analyze inelastic channels.
- Ongoing PWA.

An active  $\pi N$  experimental/PWA program is needed to complement electro- and photo-production data from Jefferson Laboratory, Mainz, ...

## Conclusions

- New PWA efforts are needed to determine properties of the  $P_{11}(1440)$  resonance.
- Complete data sets now available.
- KH and CMB analyses completed in 1980.
- These analyses can be extended to higher resonances when (if?) data become available from new facilities.
- An experiment on non-strange resonances near 1710 MeV is being prepared at ITEP (Moscow).
- MIPP at FNAL presents a new possibility (if eventually approved) to make measurements in the πN resonance region (1-3 GeV/c) and with kaon beams higher than 3 GeV/c.
- J-PARC (near Tokyo) is a future hadron facility. No baryon spectroscopy or nucleon structure experiments included in Phase I experiments.