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## A little bit of history

- Speed plot has been used and abused by many as a tool to extract resonance parameters.
- It is said to extract T-matrix pole parameters.


## A little bit of history

- Hohler is the one who promoted the method, although he did not invent it.

Herndon et al., Lawrence Radiation Laboratory Report 1960

- In years, lot of analyses depended on Speed Plot to extract their poles.


## A little bit of history

- Everything was "peachy", until Mike and Saša had an argument in Grenoble a couple of years back.
- The result of that argument was presented last year in Tuzla and for the sake of completeness, l'll repeat it here.


## The Argument

- So, what was the argument about?
- Speed plot produced different poles in different channels from Zagreb 1998 analysis.


## The Argument

- Everything seems normal, except a tiny little thing:
- This analysis has poles on the same place in all channels by construction!


## Speed Plot

$$
\mathrm{T}=\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{R}}+\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{B}}
$$

$$
T_{R}=\frac{r}{\mu-W}
$$



## The method

- After a looong and agonizing procedure, a new method was born.
- The method had to have as few limitations as possible, and to be as general as possible.


## The method

Now comes a small mathematical workout, in which we will see that simplicity and going by-the-book pays off...

## Road to The method

$$
f(z)=(\mu-z) t(z)
$$

$$
f(\mu)=\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{f^{(n)}(x)}{n!}(\mu-x)^{n}+R_{N}(x, \mu)
$$

$$
f^{(n)}(x)=(\mu-x) t^{(n)}(x)-n t^{(n-1)}(x)
$$

$$
|f(\mu)|=\frac{\left|t^{(N)}(x)\right|}{N!}|a+i b-x|^{(N+1)} .
$$

## Regularization method

$$
\frac{(a-x)^{2}+b^{2}}{\sqrt[N+1]{|f(\mu)|^{2}}}=\sqrt[N+1]{\frac{(N!)^{2}}{\left|t^{(N)}(x)\right|^{2}}}
$$

- This is the final formula.

Pretty neat, isn't it?

## Application

- Now, a method without application is like a cowboy without a horse.
- We applied the method on Zagreb 1998 analysis and got some interesting results


## How does it work?



## Application



Convergence segment
The almost-ideal case:
One channel, one resonance

Area of instability

Area of convergence

Parabolic behaviour


## Application II



## How does it work?



## Results

| $N(1535)$ S11 | Speed Plot <br> Re; -2Im; | RegMet <br> Re; -2Im; |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ | $1506 ; 83 ;$ | $(1522 ; 146 ;)$ |
| $\pi N \rightarrow \eta N$ | $1531 ; 388 ;$ | N.C. |
| Trace (T) | $1525 ; 82 ;$ | N.C. |

## Results

| $N(1650)$ S11 | Speed Plot <br> Re; -2Im; | RegMet <br> Re; -2Im; |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\pi N \rightarrow \pi N$ | $1658 ; 180$ | $1646 ; 205$ |
| $\pi N \rightarrow \eta N$ | $1601 ; 208$ | $1646 ; 208$ |
| Trace (T) | $1665 ; 163$ | $1648 ; 202$ |

## Results

- To go on, it would be boring. Therefore, here is a self-explanatory table of $\mathrm{N}^{*}$ resonances.


## The Table

| Resonance | $\left.\begin{array}{l}\text { Speed Plot } \\ \Delta R e ; \Delta(-2 l m\end{array}\right)$ | RegMet <br> $\Delta R e ; \Delta(-2 I m)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $N(1535)$ S11 | $25 ; 300$ | N.C. |
| $N(1650)$ S11 | $64 ; 45$ | $2 ; 8$ |
| $N(2090)$ S11 | N.E. in $\eta N$ ch. | N.C. |
| $N(1440)$ P11 | S.T. In $\eta N$ ch. | S.T. In $\eta N$ ch. |
| $N(1710)$ P11 | $100 ; 54$ | 5,16 |
| $N(2100) P 11$ | 15,38 | $1 ; 1$ |
| $N(1720)$ P13 | $89 ; 79$ | $1 ; 1$ |
| $N(1520) D 13$ | 1,16 | $1 ; 1$ |

## The Table Cont.

| Resonance | Speed Plot <br> $\Delta R e ; \Delta(-2 l m)$ | RegMet <br> $\Delta R e ; \Delta(-2 I m)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $N(1700)$ D13 | $152 ; 145$ | $1 ; 1$ |
| $N(2080) D 13$ | $21 ; 164$ | N.C. |
| $N(1675)$ D15 | $39 ; 41$ | $1 ; 1$ |
| $N(2200) D 15$ | $19 ; 32$ | $1 ; 3$ |
| $N(1680)$ F15 | $1 ; 1$ | $1 ; 1$ |
| $N(1990)$ F17 | $39 ; 96$ | 2,6 |
| $N(? ? ? ?)$ G17 | $11 ; 10$ | $1 ; 3$ |
| $N(2190) G 17$ | $1 ; 182$ | $5 ; 5$ |

## Let's go back to Speed Plot

$$
\frac{(a-x)^{2}+b^{2}}{\sqrt[N+1]{|f(\mu)|^{2}}}=\sqrt[N+1]{\frac{(N!)^{2}}{\left|t^{(N)}(x)\right|^{2}}}
$$



$$
\left|\frac{d T_{R}}{d W}\right|=\frac{|r|}{(\operatorname{Re} \mu-W)^{2}+(\operatorname{Im} \mu)^{2}}
$$

## Example



That's why Hohler reported pole of $\mathrm{N}(1535)$ at the energy of $\eta \mathrm{N}$ channel opening.

## Why is it important?

## Excited Baryon Program at JLab
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## IV. EXCITED BARYON ANALYSIS CXENTER

The Exelted Blaryon Anclysts Center (EBAC) was extabished at JLab in Imanary, 2006 to prowide theoretical snpporit to the exaited bayyon progrem. ERAC"9 program hess two coumponents. The first one is to idemily new lutryun states and extract the $N^{n}$ persmuetern
analyses must be examined. The validity of the often used speed-plot or time-delayed plot methods in extracting the $N^{*}$ parameters from the determined partial-wave amplitudes should also be studied.

## Conclusion

- Speed plot is just a first-order Regularization method
- Speed plot would give nice results if one would apply it to partial waves with poor analytical structure.
- Trace of T-matrix is a nice tool if analysis can produce one



